

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/047,216	01/14/2002	Arihiro Takeda	1117.66107	5771	
7590 03/17/2005 Patrick G. Burns, Esq.			EXAMINER		
			DUONG, THOI V		
Suite 2500	S & CRAIN, LTD.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
300 South Wacker Dr.			2871		
Chicago, IL 6	0606		DATE MAILED: 03/17/2009	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	ion No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/047,2	16	TAKEDA ET AL.	(Qw)
		Examine	r	Art Unit	
		Thoi V. D	uong	2871	
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	this communication	appears on th	e cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTOR' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS  - Extensions of time may be available unafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing  - If the period for reply specified above  - Failure to reply within the set or extende Any reply received by the Office later the earned patent term adjustment. See 37	S COMMUNICATIO der the provisions of 37 CF date of this communication less than thirty (30) days, a , the maximum statutory will, by s an three months after the n	ON. R 1.136(a). In no ex n. a reply within the sta eriod will apply and v tatute, cause the app	vent, however, may a tutory minimum of thi vill expire SIX (6) MOI olication to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this comm  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status					
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to commun</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL.</li> <li>3) Since this application is closed in accordance w</li> </ul>	2b)⊠ in condition for all	This action is i	non-final. It for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the m D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	erits is
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>8-12 and 33</u> is 4a) Of the above claim(s 5) ☐ Claim(s) <u>8-12</u> is/are allo 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>33</u> is/are reject 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are o 8) ☐ Claim(s) are sub	s) is/are with wed. ted. bjected to.	ndrawn from co			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is obje  10) The drawing(s) filed on Applicant may not request  Replacement drawing she  11) The oath or declaration in	is/are: a) that any objection to et(s) including the co	accepted or b the drawing(s) rrection is requi	be held in abeya red if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a) Acknowledgment is made a) All b) Some * c) 1. Certified copies of 2. Certified copies of 3. Copies of the certification from the cert	None of:  f the priority docum  f the priority docum  tified copies of the  he International Bu	nents have beenents have been priority docum	en received. en received in A ents have beer le 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National Sta	age
* See the attached detailed	i Oπice action for a	i iist of the cert	inea copies not	receivea.	
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-8:  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra  Information Disclosure Statement(s Paper No(s)/Mail Date	wing Review (PTO-948	•	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-15 	52)

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This office action is in response to the Response filed January 27, 2005.

Accordingly to the amendment filed May 24, 2004, claims 8-10 and 33 were amended, and claims 1-7 and 13-32 were cancelled. Currently, claims 8-12 and 33 are pending in this application.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to the declarations filed January 27, 2005 in the telephone interview on 02/23/2005 were persuasive; therefore, the Advisory Action is withdrawn and an interview summary is sent to Applicant on 02/24/2005. Upon further consideration, however, a new ground(s) of rejection made with respect to claim 33 is followed. Accordingly, prosecution is hereby reopened.

## Claim Objections

3. Claims 9 and 11 are objected to because of the following informalities: claims 9 and 10 recite the limitation "said orientation control element" in lines 1 and 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Application/Control Number: 10/047,216

Art Unit: 2871

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

5. Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kim et al. (Kim, USPN 6,567,144 131).

As shown in Figs. 7 and 8, Kim discloses a liquid crystal orientation method of liquid crystal molecules of a liquid crystal layer in the liquid crystal display device comprising a first substrate 200 having thereon a pixel electrode 20 and an active element TFT, a second substrate100 having thereon an opposed electrode 10, and said liquid crystal layer interposed between said first and second substrates with said electrodes facing each other, said method comprising the step of:

giving an orientation regulating force (created by protrusion 171) to said liquid crystal molecules near an edge 19 of said pixel electrode 20 on said first substrate to counteract an orientation regulating force given by the edge of said pixel electrode to said liquid crystal molecules of said liquid crystal layer (see Fig. 5) so that said liquid crystal molecules including those near said edge are oriented in substantially the same direction, when difference in orientation direction among said liquid crystal molecules adjacent to each other near said edge is caused by said orientation regulating force (created by the protrusion 171) given to said liquid crystal molecules of said liquid

Application/Control Number: 10/047,216

Art Unit: 2871

crystal layer due to said edge 19 of said pixel electrode 20 when voltage is being applied between said pixel and opposed electrodes (Fig. 8 and col. 7, lines 31-37); and

giving an orientation regulating force (created by protrusion 27) that orients the liquid crystal molecules of said liquid crystal layer in a predetermined direction different from the directions of the orientation regulating force given by said edge of said pixel electrode to the liquid crystal molecules of said liquid crystal layer and the orientation regulating force (created by the protrusion 171) given to said liquid crystal molecules near the edge of said pixel electrode (Figs. 5 and 8).

### Allowable Subject Matter

#### 6. Claims 8-12 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: none of the prior art of record fairly suggests or shows all of the limitations as claimed. Specifically,

Re claim 8, none of the prior art of record discloses, in combination with other limitations as claimed, a first orientation control element locally provided near an edge of the pixel electrode on the first substrate and giving an orientation regulating force to liquid crystal molecules near the edge of the pixel electrode on said first substrate, the orientation regulating force counteracting an orientation regulating force given by the edge of said pixel electrode to said liquid crystal molecules of the liquid crystal layer, so that said liquid crystal molecules including those near said edge are oriented in a substantially the same direction, when difference in orientation direction among said the liquid crystal molecules adjacent to each other near said edge is caused by the orientation regulating force given to the liquid crystal molecules of said liquid crystal

Application/Control Number: 10/047,216

Art Unit: 2871

layer by said edge of said pixel electrode when voltage is being applied between said

pixel and opposed electrodes.

The most relevant reference, USPN 6,567,144 131 of Kim et al. (Kim), fails to

disclose or suggest a first orientation control element locally provided near an edge of

the pixel electrode on the first substrate. As shown in Figs. 7 and 8, the Kim's reference

discloses that a first orientation control element 171 provided near an edge 19 of the

pixel electrode 20 is formed on the second substrate 100.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later

than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably

accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on

Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Thoi V. Duong whose telephone number is (571) 272-

2292. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 am to 4:30

pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robert Kim, can be reached at (571) 272-2293.

Thoi Duong

02/24/2005

PRIMARY EXAMIRY

YANHUWOHD R. CHOWDHURY

Page 5